

# A Local Trace Formula for the Local Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture for Special Orthogonal Groups

Zhilin Luo

University of Minnesota

Automorphic forms and arithmetic, Columbia university  
December 11, 2020

# Spherical harmonics



$SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow SO_3(\mathbb{R})$  compact.

# Spherical harmonics



$SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow SO_3(\mathbb{R})$  compact.



$m(\pi) = \dim \text{Hom}_{SO_2(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathbb{C}), \quad \pi \in \text{Irr}(SO_3(\mathbb{R})).$

# Spherical harmonics



$$\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{compact.}$$



$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathbb{C}), \quad \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})).$$

▶ By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C})).$$

# Spherical harmonics



$$\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{compact.}$$



$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathbb{C}), \quad \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})).$$

▶ By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C})).$$



$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq L^2(S^2).$$

# Spherical harmonics



$$\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{compact.}$$



$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathbb{C}), \quad \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})).$$

▶ By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C})).$$



$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq L^2(S^2).$$



$$L^2(S^2) \curvearrowright \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{spectral decomposition.}$$

# Spherical harmonics

- ▶ By the theory of spherical harmonics,

$$L^2(S^2) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus}_{l=0}^{\infty} H_l,$$

$H_l$  = spherical harmonics of deg.  $l$ ,  $\dim = 2l + 1$ ,  
 $H_l$  is an irr. rep. of  $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Spherical harmonics

- ▶ By the theory of spherical harmonics,

$$L^2(S^2) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus}_{l=0}^{\infty} H_l,$$

$H_l$  = spherical harmonics of deg.  $l$ ,  $\dim = 2l + 1$ ,  
 $H_l$  is an irr. rep. of  $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ .



$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, L^2(S^2)) = 1$ , for any  $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}))$ .



# Spherical harmonics

- ▶ By the theory of spherical harmonics,

$$L^2(S^2) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus}_{l=0}^{\infty} H_l,$$

$H_l$  = spherical harmonics of deg.  $l$ ,  $\dim = 2l + 1$ ,  
 $H_l$  is an irr. rep. of  $\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ .



$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})}(\pi, L^2(S^2)) = 1$ , for any  $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}))$ .



$$m(\pi) = \frac{\int_{\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})} \Theta_{\pi}(h) dh}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}), dh)}, \quad \text{by Schur's orthogonality.}$$

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \leftrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.
- ▶  $N =$  unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$  stabilizing the full isotropic flag determined by  $W^\perp$ .

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.
- ▶  $N =$  unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$  stabilizing the full isotropic flag determined by  $W^\perp$ .
- ▶  $G = \mathrm{SO}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$ .

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.
- ▶  $N =$  unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$  stabilizing the full isotropic flag determined by  $W^\perp$ .
- ▶  $G = \mathrm{SO}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$ .
- ▶  $H = \mathrm{SO}(W) \ltimes N \hookrightarrow G$ , with  $\Delta : \mathrm{SO}(W) \hookrightarrow G$ .

# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.
- ▶  $N =$  unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$  stabilizing the full isotropic flag determined by  $W^\perp$ .
- ▶  $G = \mathrm{SO}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$ .
- ▶  $H = \mathrm{SO}(W) \ltimes N \hookrightarrow G$ , with  $\Delta : \mathrm{SO}(W) \hookrightarrow G$ .
- ▶  $\xi =$  a generic character of  $N$  extending to  $H$ .



# Set up

- ▶  $F$  local field of char. zero.
- ▶  $W \hookrightarrow V$  quadratic spaces  $/F$ .
- ▶  $W^\perp = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$  split of odd dim.
- ▶  $N =$  unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(V)$  stabilizing the full isotropic flag determined by  $W^\perp$ .
- ▶  $G = \mathrm{SO}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$ .
- ▶  $H = \mathrm{SO}(W) \ltimes N \hookrightarrow G$ , with  $\Delta : \mathrm{SO}(W) \hookrightarrow G$ .
- ▶  $\xi =$  a generic character of  $N$  extending to  $H$ .
- ▶  $(G, H, \xi)$  is called a **Gan-Gross-Prasad** triple.

# Multiplicity one

- ▶ Set

$$m(\pi) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \xi_F), \quad \pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G(F))$$

**Theorem.**

$$m(\pi) \leq 1.$$

# Multiplicity one

- ▶ Set

$$m(\pi) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \xi_F), \quad \pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G(F))$$

## Theorem.

$$m(\pi) \leq 1.$$

- ▶ For  $F$   $p$ -adic, proved by A. Aizenbud-D. Gourevitch-S. Rallis-G. Schiffmann for  $r = 0$ , and W. Gan-B.Gross-D.Prasad reducing the general case to  $r = 0$ .

# Multiplicity one

- ▶ Set

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \xi_F), \quad \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G(F))$$

## Theorem.

$$m(\pi) \leq 1.$$

- ▶ For  $F$   $p$ -adic, proved by A. Aizenbud-D. Gourevitch-S. Rallis-G. Schiffmann for  $r = 0$ , and W. Gan-B.Gross-D.Prasad reducing the general case to  $r = 0$ .
- ▶ For  $F$  Archimedean, proved by B. Sun-C. Zhu for  $r = 0$ , and D. Jiang-Sun-Zhu reducing the general case to  $r = 0$ .

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

- ▶ The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture suggests that  $m(\pi)$  has more stable behavior by considering the local **Vogan packet** attached to  $(G, H, \xi)$ .

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

- ▶ The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture suggests that  $m(\pi)$  has more stable behavior by considering the local **Vogan packet** attached to  $(G, H, \xi)$ .
- ▶ To introduce local Vogan packets, consider pure inner forms of  $\mathrm{SO}(W)$ , parametrized by  $H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(W)) \simeq H^1(F, H)$

# Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

- ▶ The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture suggests that  $m(\pi)$  has more stable behavior by considering the local **Vogan packet** attached to  $(G, H, \xi)$ .
- ▶ To introduce local Vogan packets, consider pure inner forms of  $\mathrm{SO}(W)$ , parametrized by  $H^1(F, \mathrm{SO}(W)) \simeq H^1(F, H)$
- ▶ For  $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$ , there exists

$$(W_\alpha, V_\alpha = W_\alpha \oplus W^\perp)$$

$\dim W_\alpha = \dim W$ ,  $\mathrm{disc} W_\alpha = \mathrm{disc} W$ ,  
with a GGP triple

$$(G_\alpha, H_\alpha, \xi_\alpha).$$

Moreover

$${}^L G_\alpha \simeq {}^L G.$$

# Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

**Conjecture.** (Gan-Gross-Prasad)

For any generic  $L$ -parameter  $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow {}^L G$  with  $L$ -packet  $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ ,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = 1.$$

Moreover, the non-vanishing of  $m(\pi)$  is detected by representations of the component group  $A_\varphi$  attached to  $\varphi$ , which is related to the sign of the relevant local symplectic root numbers.



$$\varphi \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{generic,} & L(s, \varphi, \text{Ad}) \text{ is holomorphic at } s = 1 \\ \text{tempered,} & \text{Im}(\varphi) \text{ is bounded} \end{cases}$$



## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: $p$ -adic

- ▶ J.-L. Waldspurger (tempered) and C. Moeglin-Waldspurger (generic) proved the conjecture completely when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic (Assuming LLC for non quasi-split SO and quasi-split  $SO_{2n}$ ).

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: $p$ -adic

- ▶ J.-L. Waldspurger (tempered) and C. Moeglin-Waldspurger (generic) proved the conjecture completely when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic (Assuming LLC for non quasi-split  $SO$  and quasi-split  $SO_{2n}$ ).
- ▶ The local GGP conjecture speculates parallel behaviors for unitary groups. R. Beuzart-Plessis (tempered) and Gan-A. Ichino (generic) proved the conjecture when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic.

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: $p$ -adic

- ▶ J.-L. Waldspurger (tempered) and C. Moeglin-Waldspurger (generic) proved the conjecture completely when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic (Assuming LLC for non quasi-split  $SO$  and quasi-split  $SO_{2n}$ ).
- ▶ The local GGP conjecture speculates parallel behaviors for unitary groups. R. Beuzart-Plessis (tempered) and Gan-A. Ichino (generic) proved the conjecture when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic.
- ▶ There are parallel conjectures for skew-hermitian unitary groups and symplectic-metaplectic groups. Gan-Ichino proved the conjecture for skew-hermitian unitary groups, and H. Atobe for symplectic-metaplectic groups, via theta correspondence when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic.

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: Archimedean

- ▶ For unitary groups, when  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  
Beuzart-Plessis proved the multiplicity part of the conjecture for  $\varphi$  tempered.  
H. He proved the conjecture for discrete series representations.  
H. Xue proved the conjecture for  $\varphi$  tempered.

## Local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture: Archimedean

- ▶ For unitary groups, when  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  
Beuzart-Plessis proved the multiplicity part of the conjecture for  $\varphi$  tempered.  
H. He proved the conjecture for discrete series representations.  
H. Xue proved the conjecture for  $\varphi$  tempered.
- ▶ For special orthogonal groups, when  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ,  
J. Möllers proved the conjecture for  $\mathrm{SO}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(n+1)$ .

# The theorem

In the special orthogonal groups setting, we prove the following theorem.

## Theorem (L.)

For any tempered  $L$ -parameter  $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ ,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = 1.$$

- ▶ We follow the approach of Waldspurger and Beuzart-Plessis.

## Local trace formula

- ▶ For  $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F))$ , by Frobenius reciprocity for unitary representations,

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \xi_F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, \text{Ind}_H^G \xi_F)$$

where  $\text{Ind}_H^G \xi = L^2(H(F) \backslash G(F), \xi_F)$ .

## Local trace formula

- ▶ For  $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F))$ , by Frobenius reciprocity for unitary representations,

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \xi_F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, \text{Ind}_H^G \xi_F)$$

where  $\text{Ind}_H^G \xi = L^2(H(F) \backslash G(F), \xi_F)$ .



$L^2(H(F) \backslash G(F), \xi_F) \curvearrowright G(F)$  spectral decomposition.



## Local trace formula

- ▶ Following Arthur,

$$L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F), \xi) \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F)) \quad \text{via convolution.}$$

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Following Arthur,

$L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F), \xi) \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$  via convolution.

- ▶ For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$ ,  $x \in G(F)$ ,  $\varphi \in L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F), \xi_F)$ ,

$$(R(f)\varphi)(x) = \int_{G(F)} f(g)\varphi(xg)dg = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K_f(x, y)\varphi(y)dy$$

where

$$K_f(x, y) = \int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hy)\xi_F(h)dh, \quad x, y \in G(F).$$

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Following Arthur,

$L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F), \xi) \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$  via convolution.

- ▶ For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$ ,  $x \in G(F)$ ,  $\varphi \in L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F), \xi_F)$ ,

$$(R(f)\varphi)(x) = \int_{G(F)} f(g)\varphi(xg)dg = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K_f(x, y)\varphi(y)dy$$

where

$$K_f(x, y) = \int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hy)\xi_F(h)dh, \quad x, y \in G(F).$$

- ▶  $R(f)$  has an integral kernel  $K_f(x, y)$ .

## Local trace formula

► Formally,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R(f)) \sim \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(x, x) dx.$$

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Formally,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R(f)) \sim \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(x, x) dx.$$

- ▶ In general, RHS is not absolutely convergent.

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Formally,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R(f)) \sim \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(x, x) dx.$$

- ▶ In general, RHS is not absolutely convergent.
- ▶ Work with *strongly cuspidal* functions.

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Formally,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R(f)) \sim \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(x, x) dx.$$

- ▶ In general, RHS is not absolutely convergent.
- ▶ Work with *strongly cuspidal* functions.
- ▶  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$  is called strongly cuspidal if

$$\int_{U(F)} f(mu) du = 0, \quad m \in M(F)$$

for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P = MU$  of  $G$ .

## Local trace formula

- ▶ Formally,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R(f)) \sim \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(x, x) dx.$$

- ▶ In general, RHS is not absolutely convergent.
- ▶ Work with *strongly cuspidal* functions.
- ▶  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(F))$  is called strongly cuspidal if

$$\int_{U(F)} f(mu) du = 0, \quad m \in M(F)$$

for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P = MU$  of  $G$ .

- ▶ Similarly, define strongly cuspidal functions in the *Harish-Chandra Schwartz space*  $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$  of  $G(F)$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{scusp}}(G(F))$ .



# Local trace formula

## Theorem (L.)

For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$ ,

$$J(f) = \int_{H(F) \backslash G(F)} K_f(x, x) dx$$

*is absolutely convergent.*

- ▶ Establish spectral and geometric expansions for  $J(f)$  through comparing with Arthur's local trace formula.

# Spectral expansion

## Theorem (L.)

For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$ , set

$$J_{\text{spec}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G(F))} D(\pi)\theta_f(\pi)m(\pi)d\pi.$$

Then  $J_{\text{spec}}(f)$  is absolutely convergent, and

$$J(f) = J_{\text{spec}}(f).$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{X}(G(F)) := \{(M, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in T_{\text{ell}}(M(F))\} / \text{conj.}$ , where  $T_{\text{ell}}(M(F)) =$  elliptic representations introduced by Arthur.

# Spectral expansion

## Theorem (L.)

For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$ , set

$$J_{\text{spec}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G(F))} D(\pi) \theta_f(\pi) m(\pi) d\pi.$$

Then  $J_{\text{spec}}(f)$  is absolutely convergent, and

$$J(f) = J_{\text{spec}}(f).$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{X}(G(F)) := \{(M, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in T_{\text{ell}}(M(F))\} / \text{conj.}$ , where  $T_{\text{ell}}(M(F)) =$  elliptic representations introduced by Arthur.
- ▶ For  $\pi$  attached to  $(M, \sigma)$ ,  $\theta_f(\pi) = (-1)^{a_G - a_M} J_M^G(\sigma, f)$ , where  $J_M^G(\sigma, f)$  is the weighted character defined by Arthur.

# Spectral expansion

- ▶ Introduce  $\mathcal{L}_\pi : \text{End}(\pi)^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m(\pi) \neq 0, \quad \pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F)).$$

# Spectral expansion

- ▶ Introduce  $\mathcal{L}_\pi : \text{End}(\pi)^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m(\pi) \neq 0, \quad \pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F)).$$

- ▶ For  $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F))$ , set

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(T) = \int_{H(F)}^* \text{Tr}(\pi(h^{-1})T)\xi_F(h)dh, \quad T \in \text{End}(\pi)^\infty.$$

In general, the integral is not absolutely convergent, need regularization. (Waldspurger, Lapid-Mao, Sakellaridis-Venkatesh, Beuzart-Plessis).

## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Insert  $\mathcal{L}_\pi$  into the Plancherel formula on  $G(F)$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, x) &= \int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hx) dh \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1})) d\pi. \end{aligned}$$

## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Insert  $\mathcal{L}_\pi$  into the Plancherel formula on  $G(F)$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, x) &= \int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hx)dh \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1}))d\pi. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ By continuity, assume  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$  has compactly supported Plancherel transform.

## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Insert  $\mathcal{L}_\pi$  into the Plancherel formula on  $G(F)$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, x) &= \int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hx) dh \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1})) d\pi. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ By continuity, assume  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$  has compactly supported Plancherel transform.
- ▶ By compactness, choose  $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$  such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))} = m(\pi)$$

for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))$  with  $\pi(f) \neq 0$ .



## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Compare the resulting formula with the spectral side of Arthur's local trace formula.

## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Compare the resulting formula with the spectral side of Arthur's local trace formula.
- ▶

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, x) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))} \mathcal{L}_{\pi}(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1})) \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\pi}(\pi(\overline{f'}))} d\pi. \\ &= \int_{H(F)} \xi_F(h) dh \int_{H(F)} \xi_F(h') dh' \int_{G(F)} f(x^{-1} h g h' x) f'(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

## Spectral expansion

- ▶ Compare the resulting formula with the spectral side of Arthur's local trace formula.



$$\begin{aligned} K(f, x) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{temp}}(G(F))} \mathcal{L}_{\pi}(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1})) \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\pi}(\pi(\overline{f'}))} d\pi. \\ &= \int_{H(F)} \xi_F(h) dh \int_{H(F)} \xi_F(h') dh' \int_{G(F)} f(x^{-1}hgh'x) f'(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J(f) &= \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} dx \int_{H(F)} \xi(h) dh \\ &\quad \int_{H(F)} \xi(h') dh' \int_{G(F)} f(x^{-1}hgh'x) f'(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

## Spectral expansion: comparasion with Arthur's trace formula

- ▶ After introducing truncation, showing the integral order can be switched, and changing variables

$$J(f) = \int_{H(F)} \xi(h) dh \int_{G(F)} dg' \int_{G(F)} f(g'^{-1} h g g') f'(g) dg.$$

## Spectral expansion: comparasion with Arthur's trace formula

- ▶ After introducing truncation, showing the integral order can be switched, and changing variables

$$J(f) = \int_{H(F)} \xi(h) dh \int_{G(F)} dg' \int_{G(F)} f(g'^{-1} h g g') f'(g) dg.$$

- ▶ The inner integral  $\int_{G(F)} \int_{G(F)}$  is exactly Arthur's local trace formula. Express in turns of spectral expansion of  $J^A(f, f')$ .

# Spectral expansion: comparasion with Arthur's trace formula

- ▶ After introducing truncation, showing the integral order can be switched, and changing variables

$$J(f) = \int_{H(F)} \xi(h) dh \int_{G(F)} dg' \int_{G(F)} f(g'^{-1} h g g') f'(g) dg.$$

- ▶ The inner integral  $\int_{G(F)} \int_{G(F)}$  is exactly Arthur's local trace formula. Express in turns of spectral expansion of  $J^A(f, f')$ .
- ▶  $J(f)$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} J(f) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G(F))} D(\pi) \theta_f(\pi) \overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\bar{f}'))} d\pi \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G(F))} D(\pi) \theta_f(\pi) m(\pi) d\pi, \end{aligned}$$

# Geometric multiplicity formula

## Theorem (L.)

For  $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G(F))$ ,

$$m(\pi) = m_{\text{geom}}(\pi) = \int_{\Gamma(G,H)} c_{\pi}(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{-1/2} dx.$$

- ▶ When  $F$  is  $p$ -adic it was proved by Waldspurger.

## Geometric multiplicity formula: $\Gamma(G, H)$



$$\Gamma(G, H) := \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T_{\text{reg}}(F).$$

$\mathcal{T}$  is a set of subtori of  $\text{SO}(W)$ .



## Geometric multiplicity formula: $\Gamma(G, H)$



$$\Gamma(G, H) := \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T_{\text{reg}}(F).$$

$\mathcal{T}$  is a set of subtori of  $\text{SO}(W)$ .



$T \in \mathcal{T}$  iff.  $T$  max. ell. in  $\text{SO}(W'')$   
where  $W'' \subset W$  non-degenerate and  $\dim(W/W'')$  even.

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

Theorem (Harish-Chandra for  $p$ -adic, Barbasch-Vogan for Archimedean)

For  $x \in G_{\text{ss}}$  and  $X \in \omega \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$  a small neighborhood of 0, there exists constants  $c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow 0} D^G(xe^X)^{1/2} \Theta_\pi(xe^X) = D^G(x)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}(x) \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X).$$

Here  $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X) = \mathcal{F}(J_{\mathcal{O}}(\cdot))$ .

- ▶ The definition of  $c_\pi$ , first appeared in the work of Waldspurger, is the main technical ingredient.

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

Theorem (Harish-Chandra for  $p$ -adic, Barbasch-Vogan for Archimedean)

For  $x \in G_{\text{ss}}$  and  $X \in \omega \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$  a small neighborhood of 0, there exists constants  $c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow 0} D^G(xe^X)^{1/2} \Theta_\pi(xe^X) = D^G(x)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}(x) \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X).$$

Here  $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X) = \mathcal{F}(J_{\mathcal{O}}(\cdot))$ .

- ▶ The definition of  $c_\pi$ , first appeared in the work of Waldspurger, is the main technical ingredient.
- ▶  $c_\pi$  is nonzero only when  $G_x$  is quasi-split. When it is the case,  $c_\pi = c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}$  for a particular  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ For unitary groups,  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$  can be permuted by scaling. The geometric multiplicity is independent of the orbit chosen. Therefore set

$$c_\pi(x) := \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}}{|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)|}.$$

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ For unitary groups,  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$  can be permuted by scaling. The geometric multiplicity is independent of the orbit chosen. Therefore set

$$c_\pi(x) := \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}}{|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)|}.$$

- ▶ Benefit:

$$D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\pi(x) = \lim_{x' \in T_{\text{qd}, x}(F) \rightarrow x} \frac{D^G(x') \Theta_\pi(x')}{|W(G_x, T_{\text{qd}, x})|}$$

where  $T_{\text{qd}, x} \subset B_x \subset G_x$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ For unitary groups,  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$  can be permuted by scaling. The geometric multiplicity is independent of the orbit chosen. Therefore set

$$c_\pi(x) := \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}}{|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)|}.$$

- ▶ Benefit:

$$D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\pi(x) = \lim_{x' \in T_{\text{qd}, x}(F) \rightarrow x} \frac{D^G(x') \Theta_\pi(x')}{|W(G_x, T_{\text{qd}, x})|}$$

where  $T_{\text{qd}, x} \subset B_x \subset G_x$ .

- ▶ It is **NOT** the case for special orthogonal groups, really need to pick up a particular regular nilpotent orbit.

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V)) \neq \emptyset$  iff.  $(V, q)$  is quasi-split.  
For  $\dim V$  is odd or  $\leq 2$ ,  $|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V))| = 1$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V)) \neq \emptyset$  iff.  $(V, q)$  is quasi-split.  
For  $\dim V$  is odd or  $\leq 2$ ,  $|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V))| = 1$ .
- ▶ For  $\dim V = 2m$  is even and  $\geq 4$ , set

$$\mathcal{N}^V = \begin{cases} F^\times / F^{\times 2}, & \text{split} \\ \text{Im}(q_{\text{an}}) / F^{\times 2}, & \text{non-split.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{N}^V \leftrightarrow \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V))$ .



## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶  $\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V)) \neq \emptyset$  iff.  $(V, q)$  is quasi-split.  
For  $\dim V$  is odd or  $\leq 2$ ,  $|\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V))| = 1$ .
- ▶ For  $\dim V = 2m$  is even and  $\geq 4$ , set

$$\mathcal{N}^V = \begin{cases} F^\times / F^{\times 2}, & \text{split} \\ \text{Im}(q_{\text{an}}) / F^{\times 2}, & \text{non-split.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{N}^V \leftrightarrow \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{so}(V))$ .

- ▶ Therefore

$$\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}) \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}^V, & \dim V \text{ is even } \geq 4, \\ \mathcal{N}^W, & \dim W \text{ is even } \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ Recall  $V = W \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ Recall  $V = W \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$ .
- ▶ Set  $\nu_0 = q(v_0)$ . When  $\dim V$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^V$ ; When  $\dim W$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $-\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^W$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ Recall  $V = W \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$ .
- ▶ Set  $\nu_0 = q(v_0)$ . When  $\dim V$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^V$ ; When  $\dim W$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $-\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^W$ .
- ▶ For  $x \in T_{\text{reg}} \in \mathcal{T}$ , set  $V'_x$  (resp.  $W'_x$ ) =  $\ker(1 - x)$  in  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ).

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ Recall  $V = W \oplus \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus Z$ .
- ▶ Set  $\nu_0 = q(v_0)$ . When  $\dim V$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^V$ ; When  $\dim W$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $-\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^W$ .
- ▶ For  $x \in T_{\text{reg}} \in \mathcal{T}$ , set  $V'_x$  (resp.  $W'_x$ ) =  $\ker(1 - x)$  in  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ).
- ▶ Then

$$G_x = G'_x \times G''_x$$

with  $G'_x = \text{SO}(V'_x) \times \text{SO}(W'_x)$ ,  $G''_x = T \times T$ .

## Geometric multiplicity formula: definition of $c_\pi$

- ▶ Recall  $V = W \oplus \langle \nu_0 \rangle \oplus Z$ .
- ▶ Set  $\nu_0 = q(\nu_0)$ . When  $\dim V$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^V$ ; When  $\dim W$  is even  $\geq 4$ ,  $-\nu_0 \in \mathcal{N}^W$ .
- ▶ For  $x \in T_{\text{reg}} \in \mathcal{T}$ , set  $V'_x$  (resp.  $W'_x$ ) =  $\ker(1 - x)$  in  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ).
- ▶ Then

$$G_x = G'_x \times G''_x$$

with  $G'_x = \text{SO}(V'_x) \times \text{SO}(W'_x)$ ,  $G''_x = T \times T$ .

- ▶ When  $G'_x$  is quasi-split, set

$$c_\pi(x) = \begin{cases} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}}, & \dim V'_x \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}}, & \dim W'_x \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# The proof

The following properties are needed for  $\varphi$  a tempered  $L$ -parameter.

**STAB** For any  $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$ ,

$$\Theta_{\alpha, \varphi} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} \Theta_\pi.$$

is stable.

**TRANS** For  $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$ ,  $\Theta_{\alpha, \varphi}$  is the transfer of  $e(G_\alpha)\Theta_\varphi$ , where  $e(G_\alpha) \in Br_2(F)$  is the Kottwitz sign.  $Br_2(F) = \{\pm 1\}$  if  $F \neq \mathbb{C}$ .

**WHITT** For  $G$  quasi-split and every  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , there exists a unique representation in  $\Pi^G(\varphi)$  admitting a Whittaker model of type  $\mathcal{O}$ .

# The proof

- ▶ For  $F$  Archimedean, LLC is known by R. Langlands, [STAB] and [TRANS] is known by D. Shelstad, and [WHITT] follows from B. Kostant and D. Vogan.



# The proof

- ▶ For  $F$  Archimedean, LLC is known by R. Langlands, [STAB] and [TRANS] is known by D. Shelstad, and [WHITT] follows from B. Kostant and D. Vogan.
- ▶ For  $F$   $p$ -adic, LLC is known from Arthur for quasi-split special orthogonal groups (need refinement for  $SO_{2n}$ ).

# The proof

- ▶ For  $F$  Archimedean, LLC is known by R. Langlands, [STAB] and [TRANS] is known by D. Shelstad, and [WHITT] follows from B. Kostant and D. Vogan.
- ▶ For  $F$   $p$ -adic, LLC is known from Arthur for quasi-split special orthogonal groups (need refinement for  $SO_{2n}$ ).
- ▶ For non quasi-split special orthogonal groups it is expected to follow from the last chapter of Arthur's book.

# The proof

## Lemma (L.)

For any  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ , define

$$c_{\varphi, \mathcal{O}}(x) := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^G(\varphi)} c_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}(x).$$

Then

$$c_{\varphi, \mathcal{O}}(x) = c_{\varphi, \mathcal{O}'}(x)$$

for any  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ .

In particular,

$$D^G(x)^{1/2} c_{\varphi, \mathcal{O}}(x) = |W(\mathbf{G}_x, T_{\text{qd}, x})|^{-1} \lim_{x' \in T_{\text{qd}, x}(F) \rightarrow x} D^G(x') \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^G(\varphi)} \Theta_{\pi}(x').$$

# The proof



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \int_{\Gamma_{\text{stab}}(G, H)} c_\varphi(x) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha), y \sim_{\text{stab}} x} e(G_\alpha) \right\} dx.$$

## The proof



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \int_{\Gamma_{\text{stab}}(G, H)} c_\varphi(x) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha), y \sim_{\text{stab}} x} e(G_\alpha) \right\} dx.$$



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha), y \sim_{\text{stab}} x} e(G_\alpha) = 0$$

unless  $x = 1$ .

## The proof



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \int_{\Gamma_{\text{stab}}(G, H)} c_\varphi(x) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha), y \sim_{\text{stab}} x} e(G_\alpha) \right\} dx.$$



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha), y \sim_{\text{stab}} x} e(G_\alpha) = 0$$

unless  $x = 1$ .



$$\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F, H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = c_\varphi(1) = 1.$$

where the last identity follows from F. Rodier when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic, and H. Matumoto when  $F$  is Archimedean.

# Geometric expansion

## Theorem (L.)

For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{scusp}}(G(F))$ , set

$$J_{\text{geom}}(f) = \int_{\Gamma(G,H)} c_f(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{-1/2} dx.$$

Then  $J_{\text{geom}}(f)$  is absolutely convergent, and

$$J(f) = J_{\text{geom}}(f).$$

## Geometric expansion: definitions

- ▶ Set

$$\theta_f(x) = (-1)^{a_G - a_{M(x)}} D^G(x)^{-1/2} J_{M(x)}^G(x, f).$$

Then  $\theta_f(x)$  is conjugation invariant.



## Geometric expansion: definitions

- ▶ Set

$$\theta_f(x) = (-1)^{a_G - a_{M(x)}} D^G(x)^{-1/2} J_{M(x)}^G(x, f).$$

Then  $\theta_f(x)$  is conjugation invariant.

- ▶ It is a **quasi-character**, i.e.

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow 0} D^G(xe^X)^{1/2} \theta_f(xe^X) = D^G(x)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}}(x) \hat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X).$$

## Geometric expansion: definitions

- ▶ Set

$$\theta_f(x) = (-1)^{a_G - a_{M(x)}} D^G(x)^{-1/2} J_{M(x)}^G(x, f).$$

Then  $\theta_f(x)$  is conjugation invariant.

- ▶ It is a **quasi-character**, i.e.

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow 0} D^G(xe^X)^{1/2} \theta_f(xe^X) = D^G(x)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}}(x) \hat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X).$$

- ▶ Define

$$c_f(x) = \begin{cases} c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}}, & \dim V'_x \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}}, & \dim W'_x \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Geometric expansion: localization

- ▶ By partition of unity,

$$\text{supp } \theta_f \subset \begin{cases} \text{neighborhood of } x \neq 1 \\ \text{neighborhood of } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

## Geometric expansion: localization

- ▶ By partition of unity,

$$\text{supp } \theta_f \subset \begin{cases} \text{neighborhood of } x \neq 1 \\ \text{neighborhood of } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ For  $x \in \text{SO}(W)_{\text{ss}}$ , when  $x \neq 1$ ,

$$(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = (G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times (G''_x, H''_x, 1).$$

$(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$  is a GGP triple of smaller dimension, and  $(G''_x, H''_x, 1)$  is  $\Delta : H''_x \hookrightarrow H''_x \times H''_x = G''_x$ .

## Geometric expansion: localization

- ▶ By partition of unity,

$$\text{supp } \theta_f \subset \begin{cases} \text{neighborhood of } x \neq 1 \\ \text{neighborhood of } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ For  $x \in \text{SO}(W)_{\text{ss}}$ , when  $x \neq 1$ ,

$$(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = (G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times (G''_x, H''_x, 1).$$

$(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$  is a GGP triple of smaller dimension, and  $(G''_x, H''_x, 1)$  is  $\Delta : H''_x \hookrightarrow H''_x \times H''_x = G''_x$ .

- ▶ Induction on  $\dim G$  and Arthur's local trace formula.

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ For  $\text{supp } \theta_f \subset$  neighborhood of  $x = 1$ , via exponential, descent to Lie algebra variants  $J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(f)$  and  $J^{\text{Lie}}(f)$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ For  $\text{supp } \theta_f \subset \text{neighborhood of } x = 1$ , via exponential, descent to Lie algebra variants  $J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(f)$  and  $J^{\text{Lie}}(f)$ .
- ▶  $J_{\text{geom}}(f)$  contains asymptotic of weighted orbital integrals near singular locus, but Arthur's local trace formula only has regular semi-simple locus. Cannot compare directly.

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Perform a Fourier transform on  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}H$  to resolve the possible singularities,

$$K^{\text{Lie}}(f, x) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}} f(gXg^{-1}) \xi_F(X) dX = \int_{\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg) dX.$$



## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Perform a Fourier transform on  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}H$  to resolve the possible singularities,

$$K^{\text{Lie}}(f, x) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}} f(gXg^{-1})\xi_F(X)dX = \int_{\Xi+\mathfrak{h}^\perp} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)dX.$$



$$J^{\text{Lie}}(f) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} dg \int_{\Xi+\mathfrak{h}^\perp} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)dX.$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ After truncation and changing integration order, compare with Arthur's weighted orbital integrals.

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ After truncation and changing integration order, compare with Arthur's weighted orbital integrals.
- ▶ For  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{scusp}}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ ,

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(f) = \int_{\Gamma(\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp)} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\hat{f}}(X) dX.$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ After truncation and changing integration order, compare with Arthur's weighted orbital integrals.
- ▶ For  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{scusp}}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ ,

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(f) = \int_{\Gamma(\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp)} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\hat{f}}(X) dX.$$

- ▶  $\Gamma(\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp) = G(F)$ -conjugacy classes of regular semi-simple elements in  $\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Take Fourier inversion back for  $J^{\text{Lie}}(f)$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Take Fourier inversion back for  $J^{\text{Lie}}(f)$ .
- ▶ By Fourier transform for quasi-characters (Beuzart-Plessis),

$$\theta_f = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X) \widehat{j}(X, \cdot) dX.$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Take Fourier inversion back for  $J^{\text{Lie}}(f)$ .
- ▶ By Fourier transform for quasi-characters (Beuzart-Plessis),

$$\theta_f = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X) \widehat{j}(X, \cdot) dX.$$

- ▶  $\widehat{j}(X, \cdot) = \mathcal{F}(J(X, \cdot))$  and

$$\lim_{t \in F^{\times 2}, t \rightarrow 0} D^G(X, tY) \widehat{j}(X, Y) = D^G(Y)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})} \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, Y).$$

(Shalika when  $F$  is  $p$ -adic, Beuzart-Plessis when  $F$  Archimedean)

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Taking the regular germ, for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\hat{f}}(X) \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) dX.$$



## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ Taking the regular germ, for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$c_{\theta_f, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\hat{f}}(X) \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) dX.$$

- ▶ Need explicit formula for  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ .

# Regular germ formula

## Theorem (L.)

For  $G$  a quasi-split reductive algebraic group,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{rss}}(F)$  and  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , set  $T_G = G_X$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{inv}(X)\text{inv}(T_G) = \text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When  $F$  is  $p$ -adic the result was already proved by D. Shelstad.

# Regular germ formula

- ▶ Fix an  $F$ -splitting for  $G$ .

## Regular germ formula

- ▶ Fix an  $F$ -splitting for  $G$ .
- ▶ The invariants  $\text{inv}(T_G)$ ,  $\text{inv}(X)$  and  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  all lie in  $H^1(F, T_G)$ .

## Regular germ formula

- ▶ Fix an  $F$ -splitting for  $G$ .
- ▶ The invariants  $\text{inv}(T_G)$ ,  $\text{inv}(X)$  and  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  all lie in  $H^1(F, T_G)$ .
- ▶  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  measures the difference between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the regular nilpotent determined by the fixed  $F$ -splitting.

## Regular germ formula

- ▶ Fix an  $F$ -splitting for  $G$ .
- ▶ The invariants  $\text{inv}(T_G)$ ,  $\text{inv}(X)$  and  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  all lie in  $H^1(F, T_G)$ .
- ▶  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  measures the difference between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the regular nilpotent determined by the fixed  $F$ -splitting.
- ▶  $\text{inv}(T_G)$  is connected with the Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor  $\Delta_I$ .

## Regular germ formula

- ▶ Fix an  $F$ -splitting for  $G$ .
- ▶ The invariants  $\text{inv}(T_G)$ ,  $\text{inv}(X)$  and  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  all lie in  $H^1(F, T_G)$ .
- ▶  $\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})$  measures the difference between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the regular nilpotent determined by the fixed  $F$ -splitting.
- ▶  $\text{inv}(T_G)$  is connected with the Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor  $\Delta_{\text{I}}$ .
- ▶  $\text{inv}(X)$  is connected with the Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor  $\Delta_{\text{II}}$ .

## Relation with the Kostant's sections

Based on a result of Kottwitz, we also prove the following theorem.

### Theorem (L.)

$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  if and only if the  $G(F)$ -orbit of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in the  $G(F)$ -orbit of a common Kostant's section.

- ▶ Kostant constructed a section for  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} // G \simeq \mathfrak{t}/W$ , whose image in  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains only regular elements, and meets every regular stable  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit exactly once.



## Relation with the Kostant's sections

Based on a result of Kottwitz, we also prove the following theorem.

### Theorem (L.)

$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  if and only if the  $G(F)$ -orbit of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in the  $G(F)$ -orbit of a common Kostant's section.

- ▶ Kostant constructed a section for  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} // G \simeq \mathfrak{t}/W$ , whose image in  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains only regular elements, and meets every regular stable  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit exactly once.
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \dim \text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) = \dim \mathfrak{t}\}$ . Regular elements are not necessarily semi-simple, e.g. regular nilpotent elements.

## Relation with the Kostant's sections

Based on a result of Kottwitz, we also prove the following theorem.

### Theorem (L.)

$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  if and only if the  $G(F)$ -orbit of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in the  $G(F)$ -orbit of a common Kostant's section.

- ▶ Kostant constructed a section for  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} // G \simeq \mathfrak{t}/W$ , whose image in  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains only regular elements, and meets every regular stable  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit exactly once.
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \dim \text{Cent}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) = \dim \mathfrak{t}\}$ . Regular elements are not necessarily semi-simple, e.g. regular nilpotent elements.
- ▶ The restriction of  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$  to an  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit of a Kostant's section is a smooth submersion. The measures on the fibers are given by the relevant orbital integrals.

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶  $\{\theta_f \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{scusp}}(\mathfrak{g}(F))\}$  is dense in the space of quasi-characters on  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$  when  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $p$ -adic. Moreover,  $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$  (Beuzart-Plessis).

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶  $\{\theta_f \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{scusp}}(\mathfrak{g}(F))\}$  is dense in the space of quasi-characters on  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$  when  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $p$ -adic. Moreover,  $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$  (Beuzart-Plessis).
- ▶ So reduce to show for any quasi-character  $\theta$ ,

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) = J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$$

with

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma(\Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp)} D^G(X)^{1/2} \widehat{\theta}(X) dX,$$

$$J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma^{\text{Lie}}(G, H)} c_\theta(X) D^G(X)^{1/2} \Delta(X)^{-1/2} dX.$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ By homogeneity of  $J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$  and  $J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$ , i.e.

$$J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2} J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$$

where  $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ ,

we can show:

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) - J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})} c_{\mathcal{O}} c_{\theta, \mathcal{O}}(0)$$

for some constant  $c_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ By homogeneity of  $J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$  and  $J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$ , i.e.

$$J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2} J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta)$$

where  $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ ,

we can show:

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) - J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})} c_{\mathcal{O}} c_{\theta, \mathcal{O}}(0)$$

for some constant  $c_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathbb{C}$ .



$$c_{\theta, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} \widehat{\theta}(X) \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) dX.$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ To prove that  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{rss}}(F)$  with

$$\mathfrak{t}_X^G \cap \Gamma(G, H) = \{0\},$$

attach it with quasi-character  $\theta_X = \widehat{j}(X, \cdot)$  supported on  $\mathfrak{t}_X^G$ ,

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ To prove that  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{rss}}(F)$  with

$$\mathfrak{t}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \cap \Gamma(G, H) = \{0\},$$

attach it with quasi-character  $\theta_X = \widehat{j}(X, \cdot)$  supported on  $\mathfrak{t}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ ,

- ▶ In particular,  $c_{\theta_X, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , and

$$J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta_X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X), & |\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})| = 1, \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}}(X), & \dim V \text{ even} \geq 4, \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}}(X), & \dim W \text{ even} \geq 4. \end{cases}$$



## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ To prove that  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{rss}}(F)$  with

$$\mathfrak{t}_X^{\mathcal{G}} \cap \Gamma(G, H) = \{0\},$$

attach it with quasi-character  $\theta_X = \widehat{j}(X, \cdot)$  supported on  $\mathfrak{t}_X^{\mathcal{G}}$ ,

- ▶ In particular,  $c_{\theta_X, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , and

$$J_{\text{geom}}^{\text{Lie}}(\theta_X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X), & |\text{Nil}_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})| = 1, \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}}(X), & \dim V \text{ even} \geq 4, \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}}(X), & \dim W \text{ even} \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Similarly,

$$J^{\text{Lie}}(\theta_X) = \begin{cases} c_{\theta_X, \mathcal{O}}(0) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X), & X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ When  $|\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})| = 1$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{qd}}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  identically, and  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ , therefore

$$J_{\mathrm{geom}}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = J^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = 1.$$

Hence  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ When  $|\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})| = 1$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{qd}}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  identically, and  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ , therefore

$$J_{\mathrm{geom}}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = J^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = 1.$$

Hence  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ .

- ▶ In general, when  $\dim V$  (resp.  $\dim W$ ) even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}$ ) is the unique regular nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , such that if  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$ , then  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ When  $|\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})| = 1$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{qd}}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$  identically, and  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ , therefore

$$J_{\mathrm{geom}}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = J^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\theta_X) = 1.$$

Hence  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ .

- ▶ In general, when  $\dim V$  (resp.  $\dim W$ ) even  $\geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu_0}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{-\nu_0}$ ) is the unique regular nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , such that if  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = 1$ , then  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Therefore  $c_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$  for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Nil}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ To prove the above claim, we need explicit formula for  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , and find relation between the formula and  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ .

## Geometric expansion: Lie algebra variant

- ▶ To prove the above claim, we need explicit formula for  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , and find relation between the formula and  $X \in \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ We compute the invariants  $\frac{\text{inv}(T_G)\text{inv}(X)}{\text{inv}_{T_G}(\mathcal{O})}$  explicitly for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{rss}}$  without eigenvalue 0, following the work of Waldspurger.

*Thank you!*